Reactions of molybdenum and tungsten atoms with nitrous oxide in excess argon: A combined matrix infrared spectroscopic and theoretical study

Ling Jiang, and Qiang Xu

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics **132**, 164305 (2010); doi: 10.1063/1.3395338 View online: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3395338 View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jcp/132/16 Published by the American Institute of Physics

Articles you may be interested in

Reactions of ruthenium and rhodium atoms with carbon monoxide and dinitrogen mixtures: A combined experimental and theoretical study The Journal of Chemical Physics **132**, 054504 (2010); 10.1063/1.3299715

Intermediates of CO oxidation on iron oxides: An experimental and theoretical study The Journal of Chemical Physics **134**, 034305 (2011); 10.1063/1.3523648

Infrared spectroscopic and theoretical studies on the formation of Au_2NO^- and $Au_nNO(n=2-5)$ in solid argon The Journal of Chemical Physics **130**, 134511 (2009); 10.1063/1.3109689

Infrared spectra and density functional theory calculations of the tantalum and niobium carbonyl dinitrogen complexes The Journal of Chemical Physics **131**, 034512 (2009); 10.1063/1.3186759

Theoretical study of the interaction of carbon monoxide with 3*d* metal dimers The Journal of Chemical Physics **128**, 124317 (2008); 10.1063/1.2842066

Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange The Journal of Chemical Physics **98**, 5648 (1993); 10.1063/1.464913



Reactions of molybdenum and tungsten atoms with nitrous oxide in excess argon: A combined matrix infrared spectroscopic and theoretical study

Ling Jiang and Qiang Xu^{a)}

National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Ikeda, Osaka 563-8577, Japan

(Received 26 January 2010; accepted 25 March 2010; published online 26 April 2010)

Reactions of laser-ablated Mo and W atoms with the N₂O molecules in excess argon have been investigated using matrix-isolation infrared spectroscopy. In the reaction of the N₂O molecule with the Mo atom, the absorptions at 1960.3 and 934.4 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the N–N and Mo–O stretching vibrations of the OMoNN complex, respectively. An analogous OWNN complex has also been observed in the W+N₂O reaction. Infrared spectroscopy also provides evidence for the formation of the OW(NN)₂ complexes. Density functional theory calculations have been performed on the products. Overall agreement between the experimental and calculated vibrational frequencies, relative absorption intensities, and isotopic shifts supports the identification of these species from the matrix infrared spectra. Furthermore, a plausible reaction mechanism for the formation of these products has been proposed. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3395338]

I. INTRODUCTION

The reaction of metal atoms with nitrous oxide (N₂O) molecule is of considerable interest due to the fact that N₂O exhibits a global warming potential about 310 times that of CO_2 on a per molecule basis¹ and is responsible for the destruction of the ozone layer in the stratosphere.² N₂O also acts as a potentially clean and highly selective oxygen donor for catalytic oxidation processes.² Extensive efforts have been made on the catalytic removal of N₂O from industrial exhaust gases. Supported transition metals (i.e., Rh, Co, Ru, Fe, Ni, Pd, and Pt) on oxides and/or zeolites have been widely used in such processes.^{3–9} It has been found that Rh/ γ -Al₂O₃ exhibits high performance of the removal of N₂O.

Gas-phase reactions of various metal atoms with N₂O have been extensively investigated both experimentally and theoretically.¹⁰⁻¹⁸ It has been found that the reaction of transition-metal atoms with N₂O leads to N–O bond activation to form the metal oxide and N₂. Previous experimental study reveals that Mo₂ is reactive toward N₂O, whereas the Mo is nonreactive toward N₂O at room temperature.¹⁹ Theoretical investigation indicate that the detachments of N₂ are kinetically effective processes for the reactions of N₂O with Mo and Mo₂ and the Mo+N₂O reaction should be a slow process due to the presence of spin-forbidden transitions.²⁰

Reactions under nontraditional experimental conditions can often yield new and exciting species not accessible from normal chemical reaction conditions.²¹ Recent studies have shown that, with an aid of isotopic substitution technique, matrix isolation infrared (IR) spectroscopy combined with quantum chemical calculation is very powerful in investigating the spectrum, structure, and bonding of novel species.^{22,23} Argon matrix investigations of the reactions of laser-ablated Ti, Cr, groups 3, 10, and 13, and lanthanoid metal atoms with N2O have characterized a series of neutral metal monoxide-dinitrogen and metal nitrous oxide complexes and cationic metal monoxide-dinitrogen complexes.^{24–30} Copper and silver chloridenitrous oxide complexes ClCuNNO and ClAgNNO have also been obtained in the reactions of metal chlorides with nitrous oxide.³¹ Some recent investigations presented the evidence for selective nitrous oxide N-N bond cleavage of by molybdenum complexes,^{32,33} whereas there are no reports of the activation of N-O bond by the simple molybdenum and tungsten atoms to our knowledge. Herein, we report a combined matrix IR spectroscopic and theoretical study of the reactions of molybdenum and tungsten atoms with nitrous oxide molecules in excess argon. IR spectroscopy coupled with density functional theory (DFT) calculation provides evidence for the formation of the OMNN (M=Mo,W) and $OW(NN)_2$ complexes.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL METHODS

The experiments for laser ablation and matrix-isolation IR spectroscopy are similar to those previously reported.³⁴ In short, the Nd: yttrium aluminum garnet laser fundamental (1064 nm, 10 Hz repetition rate with 10 ns pulse width) was focused on the rotating Mo and W targets. The laser-ablated Mo and W atoms were codeposited with N₂O in excess argon onto a CsI window cooled normally to 4 K by means of a closed-cycle helium refrigerator. Typically, 1–25 mJ/pulse laser power was used. N₂O (99.5%, Taiyo Nippon Sanso Co.), ¹⁵N₂O (98%, Cambridge Isotopic Laboratories), and ¹⁴N₂O + ¹⁵N₂O mixtures were used in different experiments. In general, matrix samples were deposited for 30–60 min

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: q.xu@aist.go.jp.



FIG. 1. IR spectra in the 2010–1890 and 1000–800 cm⁻¹ regions from codeposition of laser-ablated Mo atoms with 0.2% N₂O in Ar at 4 K. (a) Spectrum obtained from initial deposited sample for 1 h, (b) spectrum after annealing to 30 K, (c) spectrum after annealing to 35 K, (d) spectrum after 10 min of broad-band irradiation, and (e) spectrum after annealing to 38 K.

with a typical rate of 2–4 mmol/h. After sample deposition, IR spectra were recorded on a BIO-RAD FTS-6000e spectrometer at 0.5 cm⁻¹ resolution using a liquid nitrogen cooled HgCdTe (MCT) detector for the spectral range of 5000–400 cm⁻¹. Samples were annealed at different temperatures and subjected to broad-band irradiation ($\lambda > 250$ nm) using a high-pressure mercury arc lamp (Ushio, 100 W).

DFT calculations were performed to predict the structures and vibrational frequencies of the observed reaction products using the GAUSSIAN 03 program.³⁵ All the present computations employed the Becke three parameter hybrid functional with the Lee–Yang–Parr correlation corrections (B3LYP).³⁶ The 6–311+G(d) basis set was used for the N and O atoms,³⁷ and the Los Alamos effective core potential plus double zeta (LANL2DZ) was used for the Mo and W



FIG. 2. IR spectra in the 2000–1850 cm⁻¹ region from codeposition of laser-ablated Mo atoms with 0.15% $^{14}N_2O+0.15\%$ $^{15}N_2O$ in Ar at 4 K. For the meaning of (a)–(e) see Fig. 1.



FIG. 3. IR spectra in the 2150–2000 and 1100–800 cm⁻¹ regions from codeposition of laser-ablated W atoms with 0.2% N_2O in Ar at 4 K. (a) Spectrum obtained from initial deposited sample for 1 h, (b) spectrum after annealing to 25 K, (c) spectrum after annealing to 30 K, (d) spectrum after 10 min of broad-band irradiation, and (e) spectrum after annealing to 35 K.

atoms.³⁸ Geometries were fully optimized and vibrational frequencies were calculated with analytical second derivatives. The transition states were located with the synchronous transit-guided quasi-Newton method and were verified by intrinsic-reaction-coordinate calculations. Zero-point vibrational energy contributions have been taken into account. Recent investigations have shown that such computational methods can provide reliable information for metal complexes, such as IR frequencies, relative absorption intensities, and isotopic shifts.^{24–31,39–42}

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiments have been done with nitrous oxide concentrations ranging from 0.02% to 1.0% in excess argon. Typical IR spectra for the reactions of laser-ablated Mo and W atoms with N₂O molecules in excess argon in the selected regions are illustrated in Figs. 1–4, and the absorption bands in different isotopic experiments are listed in Table I. The stepwise annealing and irradiation behavior of the product absorptions is also shown in the figures and will be discussed below.



FIG. 4. IR spectra in the 2150–1950 cm⁻¹ region from codeposition of laser-ablated W atoms with 0.15% $^{14}N_2O+0.15\%$ $^{15}N_2O$ in Ar at 4 K. For the meaning of (a)–(e) see Fig. 3.

TABLE I. IR absorptions (in $\rm cm^{-1})$ observed from codeposition of laserablated Mo and W atoms with $\rm N_2O$ in excess argon at 4 K.

| ¹⁴ N ₂ O | ¹⁵ N ₂ O | $^{14}N_2O + ^{15}N_2O$ | $^{14}N_{2}O/^{15}N_{2}O$ | Assignment |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| 1960.3 | 1895.1 | 1960.3, 1895.1 | 1.0344 | OMoNN |
| 934.4 | 934.4 | | 1.0000 | OMoNN |
| 2150.2 | 2078.6 | 2150.2, 2078.6 | 1.0344 | OWNN |
| 2114.9 | 2045.0 | 2114.9, 2078.6, 2045.0 | 1.0342 | $OW(NN)_2$ |
| 2065.4 | 1996.7 | 2065.4, 2018.3, 1996.7 | 1.0344 | $OW(NN)_2$ |
| 915.1 | 915.1 | | 1.0000 | OWNN |
| 907.3 | 907.3 | | 1.0000 | $OW(NN)_2$ |

Quantum chemical calculations have been carried out for the possible isomers and electronic states of the species involved in the reactions of N₂O with Mo and W atoms. The comparison of the observed and calculated IR frequencies and isotopic frequency ratios for the N–N and M–O stretching modes of the products are summarized in Table II. The ground electronic states, point groups, vibrational frequencies, and intensities of the products are listed in Table III. Figure 5 shows the optimized structures of the species involved in the reactions of N₂O with the Mo and W atoms. The potential energy surface of the reaction of N₂O with the Mo and W atoms is depicted in Fig. 6. Molecular orbital depictions of the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HO-MOs) and HOMO–1s of the OMNN (M=Mo,W) and OW(NN)₂ complexes are illustrated in Fig. 7.

A. OMNN (M=Mo,W)

In the reaction of Mo atoms with N₂O in excess argon, the absorptions at 1960.3 and 934.4 cm⁻¹ appear together during sample deposition, change little after sample annealing, but decrease upon broad-band irradiation and after further annealing to higher temperature (Table I and Fig. 1). The upper band at 1960.3 cm⁻¹ shifts to 1895.1 cm⁻¹ with ¹⁵N₂O, exhibiting an isotopic frequency ratio ($^{14}N_2O/^{15}N_2O$, 1.0344) characteristic of a N–N stretching vibration. The mixed $^{14}N_2O/^{15}N_2O$ isotopic spectra (Fig. 2) only provide the sum of pure isotopic bands, which indicates only one N₂ unit is involved in the complex.⁴³ The 934.4 cm⁻¹ band, which is in the spectral range expected for the terminal Mo–O stretching mode,⁴⁴ shows no nitrogen isotopic shift. The features of the IR spectra in the present matrix experiments are reminiscent of those of the end-on-bonded struc-



FIG. 5. Optimized structures (bond lengths in angstrom, bond angles in degree) of the species involved in the reactions of N_2O with molybdenum and tungsten (in parentheses) atoms.

ture of OScNN, in which the corresponding N–N and Sc–O stretching vibrational frequencies appear at 1849.2 and 894.6 cm⁻¹ in the argon matrix experiments,²⁶ respectively. Accordingly, the 1960.3 and 934.4 cm⁻¹ bands are assigned to the N–N and Mo–O stretching vibrations of the OMoNN complex, respectively.

DFT calculations predict the OMoNN complex to have a ${}^{3}A'$ ground state with C_{s} symmetry (Table III and Fig. 5), which is consistent with the previous calculation.²⁰ The Mo-O and Mo-N distances are calculated to be 1.697 and 2.029 Å (Fig. 5), respectively. The N-N distance in the OMoNN complex (1.122 Å) is about 0.026 Å longer than that in an isolated N₂ (1.096 Å). The N-N and Mo-O stretching vibrational frequencies are calculated to be 2148.2 and 956.0 cm⁻¹ (Tables II and III). As listed in Table II, the calculated ¹⁴N₂O/¹⁵N₂O isotopic frequency ratios for the N-N and Mo-O stretching vibrations (1.0350 and 1.0000) are consistent with the experimental values (1.0344 and 1.0000), respectively. These agreements between the experimental and calculated vibrational frequencies, relative absorption intensities, and isotopic shifts confirm the identification of the OMoNN complex from the matrix IR spectra.

In the W+N₂O experiments, the N–N and W–O stretching vibrations for the analogous OWNN complex have been observed at 2150.2 and 915.1 cm⁻¹ (Table I and Figs. 3 and 4), respectively. The OWNN complex is predicted to have a ${}^{3}A'$ ground state with C_s symmetry (Table III and Fig. 5). The N–N distance in the OWNN complex is calculated to be 1.114 Å, which is about 0.008 Å shorter than that in the OMoNN complex and 0.018 Å longer than that in an isolated

TABLE II. Comparison of observed and calculated IR frequencies (in cm^{-1}) and isotopic frequency ratios for the products.

| | Vibrational | Observed | | Calculated | |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Species | mode | Freq. | $^{14}N_2O/^{15}N_2O$ | Freq. | $^{14}N_2O/^{15}N_2O$ |
| OMoNN | $ u_{ m N-N}$ | 1960.3 | 1.0344 | 2148.2 | 1.0350 |
| | $\nu_{ m Mo-O}$ | 934.4 | 1.0000 | 956.0 | 1.0000 |
| OWNN | $\nu_{\rm N-N}$ | 2150.2 | 1.0344 | 2169.2 | 1.0350 |
| | $\nu_{\rm W-O}$ | 915.1 | 1.0000 | 1004.4 | 1.0001 |
| OW(NN) ₂ | $\nu_{\rm N-N}$, sym | 2114.9 | 1.0342 | 2169.8 | 1.0350 |
| | $\nu_{\rm N-N}$, asym | 2065.4 | 1.0344 | 2115.7 | 1.0350 |
| | $ u_{\mathrm{W-O}}$ | 907.3 | 1.0000 | 966.5 | 1.0000 |

TABLE III. Ground electronic states, point groups, vibrational frequencies (in cm^{-1}), and intensities (km/mol) of the products.

| Species | Elec. state | Point group | Frequency (intensity, mode) | |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | | 2148.2 (811, <i>A'</i>), 956.0 (191, <i>A'</i>), 407.1 (11, <i>A'</i>), 327.1 | |
| OMoNN | $^{3}A'$ | C_s | (1, A''), 323.5 (16, A'), 133.5 (17, A') | |
| | | | 2169.2 (698, A'), 1004.4 (117, A'), 346.7 (5, A'), 289.4 | |
| OWNN | $^{3}A'$ | C_s | (3, <i>A</i> "), 249.2 (7, <i>A</i> '), 98.5 (17, <i>A</i> ') | |
| | | | 2169.8 (415, A'), 2115.7 (1214, A"), 966.5 (150, A'), | |
| | | | 486.1 (1, <i>A'</i>), 396.3 (25, <i>A"</i>), 393.3 (7, <i>A'</i>), 374.6 (3, | |
| | | | A"), 359.0 (4, A'), 327.5 (4, A"), 127.7 (18, A"), 117.7 | |
| $OW(NN)_2$ | ${}^{3}A''$ | C_s | (11, A'), 79.9 (0.01, A') | |

N₂, respectively. The N–N and W–O stretching vibrations of the OWNN complex are calculated to be 2169.2 and 1004.4 cm⁻¹ (Table III), respectively. The calculated ${}^{14}N_2O/{}^{15}N_2O$ isotopic frequency ratios for the N–N and W–O stretching vibrations of 1.0350 and 1.0001 are consistent with the corresponding experimental values of 1.0344 and 1.0000 (Table II), respectively.

B. OW(NN)₂

In the $W+N_2O$ experiments, the absorptions at 2114.9, 2065.4, and 907.3 cm^{-1} appear together after sample annealing, decrease slightly upon broad-band irradiation, and increase sharply after further annealing to higher temperature (Table I and Fig. 3). The 2114.9 and 2065.4 cm^{-1} bands shift to 2045.0 and 1996.7 cm⁻¹ with ¹⁵N₂O, respectively, exhibiting isotopic frequency ratios $({}^{14}N_2O/{}^{15}N_2O, 1.0342)$ and 1.0344) characteristic of N-N stretching vibrations. As can be seen in Fig. 4, two sets of triplet bands been observed at 2114.9/2078.6/2045.0 have and 2065.4/2018.3/1996.7 $\rm cm^{-1}$ in the mixed ${\rm ^{14}N^{16}O} + {\rm ^{15}N^{16}O}$ isotopic spectra (Table I), respectively, suggesting that two equivalent N_2 subunits are involved in each mode.⁴³ The 907.3 cm⁻¹ band, which is in the spectral range expected for the terminal W–O stretching mode,⁴⁴ shows no nitrogen isotopic shift. Accordingly, the 2114.9, 2065.4, and 907.3 cm^{-1} bands are assigned to the symmetric and asymmetric N-N and W-O stretching vibrations of the OW(NN)2 complex, respectively.

DFT calculations predict the OW(NN)₂ complex to have a ³A" ground state with C_s symmetry (Table III and Fig. 5). The W–O and W–N distances in the OW(NN)₂ complex are calculated to be 1.713 and 2.029 Å, respectively. The N–N distance in the OW(NN)₂ complex is calculated to be 1.122 Å, which is about 0.008 Å longer than that in the OWNN complex and 0.026 Å longer than that in an isolated N₂, respectively. The symmetric and asymmetric N–N and W–O stretching vibrational frequencies are calculated to be 2169.8, 2115.7, and 966.5 cm⁻¹ (Tables II and III), respectively. The calculated ¹⁴N₂O/¹⁵N₂O isotopic frequency ratios for the symmetric and asymmetric N–N and W–O stretching vibrations are consistent with the experimental values (Table II), respectively.

C. Reaction mechanism and bonding consideration

On the basis of the behavior of sample annealing and irradiation, together with the observed species and calculated stable isomers, a plausible reaction mechanism can be proposed as follows. Under the present experimental conditions, the OMNN (M=Mo, W) complexes are the primary products during sample deposition or after sample annealing (Figs. 1 and 3), suggesting that the spontaneous insertion of laserablated Mo and W atoms into N2O to form these metal monoxide-dinitrogen complexes is the dominant process (reactions 1-3). Taking the Mo reaction as an example, the reaction starts by binding the outer nitrogen atom of N₂O with the Mo atom to form a planar intermediate MoNNO (reaction 1) (Figs. 5 and 6). This step is predicted to be exothermic by 5.4 kcal/mol. Then, the isomerization proceeds by binding another nitrogen atom to form a sidebonded intermediate Mo- $(\eta^2$ -NN)O via transition state TS1. The barrier height for this process is predicted to be 6.1 kcal/mol. The oxygen atom is further transferred to form the OMoNN products via transition state TS2 at a barrier height of 29.9 kcal/mol. For W reaction, the barriers of such two



FIG. 6. Potential energy surfaces of the $M+N_2O \rightarrow OMNN$ (M=Mo,W) reactions.



FIG. 7. Molecular orbital depictions of the HOMOs and HOMO-1s of the OMNN (M=Mo, W) and OW(NN)₂ complexes. The orientation of the molecule is the same as that shown in Fig. 5.

isomerizations are 21.4 and 35.2 kcal/mol, respectively. The barriers for these isomerizations (6.1–35.2 kcal/mol) can be obtained by radiation in the ablation plume, which has been demonstrated by the previous experiment.²⁹ The formation of OWNN is more energetically favorable than that for OMoNN; furthermore, the formation of the OW(NN)₂ complex (reaction 5, -19.4 kcal/mol) is predicted to be more energetically favorable than that for the OMo(NN)₂ complex (-15.5 kcal/mol), which is consistent with the absence of the OMo(NN)₂ complex from the present matrix experiments.

$$M + N_2 O \rightarrow MNNO(M = Mo, W),$$
 (1)

$$MNNO \rightarrow M - (\eta^2 - NN)O,$$
 (2)

$$M - (\eta^2 - \text{NN}) O \to OM \text{NN},$$
 (3)

$$OMNN \to MO + N_2, \tag{4}$$

$$OMNN + N_2 \to OM(NN)_2.$$
⁽⁵⁾

As illustrated in Fig. 7, the HOMOs and HOMO-1s of OMNN (M=Mo,W) and OW(NN)₂ are σ -type and π -type bonds, respectively, which are singly occupied molecular orbitals. They comprise the synergic donations of the filled orbitals of N₂ into the empty acceptor orbitals of MO and the back donation of the MO electrons into the empty orbitals of N₂. The primary donor orbitals in the end-on-bonded OMNN and OW(NN)₂ complexes are the filled $3\sigma_g$ orbitals of N₂. The single occupied δ orbitals are the back-donation orbitals.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Reactions of laser-ablated molybdenum and tungsten atoms with nitrous oxide molecules in excess argon have been investigated using matrix-isolation IR spectroscopy and DFT computation. In the Mo+N₂O experiments, the absorptions at 1960.3 and 934.4 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the N–N and Mo–O stretching vibrations of the OMoNN complex, respectively. An analogous OWNN complex has also been observed in the W+N₂O reaction. IR spectroscopy also provides evidence for the formation of the OW(NN)₂ complexes. Furthermore, a plausible reaction pathway for the formation of the products has been proposed. This work reveals that the reactivity of the W atom toward N_2O is prior to the Mo atom.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by AIST and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (Grant No. 17350012) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan. L.J. is grateful to the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for a postdoctoral fellowship.

- ¹W. C. Trogler, Coord. Chem. Rev. 187, 303 (1999).
- ²F. Kapteijn, J. Rodriguez-Mirasol, and J. A. Moulijn, Appl. Catal., B 9, 25 (1996); A. Dandekar and M. A. Vannice, *ibid.* 22, 179 (1999); R.
- Burch, S. T. Daniells, J. P. Breen, and P. Hu, J. Catal. 224, 252 (2004).
- ³G. Centi, L. Dall'Olio, and S. Perathoner, Appl. Catal., A **194–195**, 79 (2000).
- ⁴J. Oi, A. Obuchi, G. R. Bamwenda, A. Ogata, H. Yagita, S. Kushiyama, and K. Mizuno, Appl. Catal., B **12**, 277 (1997).
- ⁵J. Haber, T. Machej, J. Janas, and M. Nattich, Catal. Today **90**, 15 (2004).
- ⁶ J. Pérez–Ramirez, F. Kapteijn, G. Mul, and J. A. Moulijn, J. Catal. **208**, 211 (2002).
- ⁷ A. Wącław, K. Nowińska, W. Schwieger, and A. Zielińska, Catal. Today 90, 21 (2004).
- ⁸R. S. da Cruz, A. J. S. Mascarenhas, and H. M. C. Andrade, Appl. Catal., B 18, 223 (1998).
- ⁹K. Doi, Y. Y. Wu, R. Takeda, A. Matsunami, N. Arai, T. Tagawa, and S. Goto, Appl. Catal., B **35**, 43 (2001).
- ¹⁰ P. B. Armentrout, L. F. Halle, and J. L. Beauchamp, J. Chem. Phys. 76, 2449 (1982).
- ¹¹D. Ritter and J. C. Weisshaar, J. Phys. Chem. **93**, 1576 (1989).
- ¹²D. Ritter and J. C. Weisshaar, J. Phys. Chem. **94**, 4907 (1990).
- ¹³P. M. Futerko and A. Fontijn, J. Chem. Phys. **95**, 8065 (1991) and references therein.
- ¹⁴ P. M. Futerko and A. Fontijn, J. Chem. Phys. **97**, 3861 (1992).
- ¹⁵P. M. Futerko and A. Fontijn, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 7004 (1993).
- ¹⁶M. L. Campbell, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. **94**, 1687 (1998) and references therein.
- ¹⁷ A. Stirling, J. Phys. Chem. A **102**, 6565 (1998); J. Am. Chem. Soc. **124**, 4058 (2002).
- ¹⁸M. L. Campbell, E. J. Kolsch, and K. L. Hooper, J. Phys. Chem. A **104**, 11147 (2000).
- ¹⁹L. Lian, S. A. Mitchell, and D. M. Rayner, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 11637 (1994).
- ²⁰ M. C. Michelini, N. Russo, M. E. Alikhani, and B. Silvi, J. Comput. Chem. 26, 1284 (2005).
- ²¹ R. E. Bandy, C. Lakshminarayan, R. K. Frost, and T. S. Zwier, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 5362 (1993); L. S. Wang, H. S. Cheng, and J. Fan, *ibid.* **102**, 9840 (1995); M. A. Duncan, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. **22**, 407 (2003).
- ²²C. Xu, L. Manceron, and J. P. Perchard, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.
 89, 1291 (1993); V. E. Bondybey, A. M. Smith, and J. Agreiter, Chem.
 Rev. 96, 2113 (1996); S. Fedrigo, T. L. Haslett, and M. Moskovits, J.
 Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 5083 (1996); L. Khriachtchev, M. Pettersson, N.
 Runeberg, J. Lundell, and M. Rasanen, Nature 406, 874 (2000); H. J.
 Himmel, L. Manceron, A. J. Downs, and P. Pullumbi, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 124, 4448 (2002); J. Li, B. E. Bursten, B. Liang, and L. Andrews, Science 295, 2242 (2002); L. Andrews and X. Wang, *ibid.* 299, 2049 (2003).
- ²³ M. F. Zhou, N. Tsumori, Z. Li, K. Fan, L. Andrews, and Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **124**, 12936 (2002); M. F. Zhou, Q. Xu, Z. Wang, and P. v. R. Schleyer, *ibid.* **124**, 14854 (2002); L. Jiang and Q. Xu, *ibid.* **127**, 42 (2005); Q. Xu, L. Jiang, and N. Tsumori, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **44**, 4338 (2005); L. Jiang and Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **127**, 8906 (2005); **128**, 1394 (2006).
- ²⁴G. V. Chertihin and L. Andrews, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 5891 (1994).
- ²⁵ M. F. Zhou, L. N. Zhang, and Q. Z. Qin, J. Phys. Chem. A 105, 6407 (2001).
- ²⁶ M. F. Zhou, G. J. Wang, Y. Y. Zhao, M. H. Chen, and C. F. Ding, J. Phys. Chem. A **109**, 5079 (2005).

- ²⁷X. Jin, G. J. Wang, and M. F. Zhou, J. Phys. Chem. A **110**, 8017 (2006).
- ²⁸G. J. Wang and M. F. Zhou, Chem. Phys. **342**, 90 (2007).
- ²⁹L. Jiang and Q. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. A **112**, 6289 (2008).
- ³⁰L. Jiang and Q. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. A **112**, 8696 (2008).
- ³¹G. J. Wang, X. Jin, M. H. Chen, and M. F. Zhou, Chem. Phys. Lett. **420**, 130 (2006).
- ³²C. E. Laplaza, A. L. Odom, W. M. Davis, C. C. Cummins, and J. D. Protasiewicz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **117**, 4999 (1995).
- ³³ J. P. F. Cherry, A. R. Johnson, L. M. Baraldo, Y. C. Tsai, C. C. Cummins, S. V. Kryatov, E. V. Rybak-Akimova, K. B. Capps, C. D. Hoff, C. M. Haar, and S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **123**, 7271 (2001).
- ³⁴ T. R. Burkholder and L. Andrews, J. Chem. Phys. **95**, 8697 (1991); M. F. Zhou, N. Tsumori, L. Andrews, and Q. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 2458 (2003); L. Jiang and Q. Xu, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 034505 (2005); L. Jiang, Y. L. Teng, and Q. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. A **110**, 7092 (2006).

- ³⁵ M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel *et al.*, GAUSSIAN 03, Revision B.04 Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.
- ³⁶A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648 (1993); C. Lee, W. Yang, and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 37, 785 (1988).
- ³⁷ A. D. McLean and G. S. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. **72**, 5639 (1980); R. Krishnan, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger, and J. A. Pople, *ibid.* **72**, 650 (1980).
- ³⁸ P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys. **82**, 299 (1985).
- ³⁹ M. F. Zhou, L. Andrews, and C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., Chem. Rev. 101, 1931 (2001) and references therein.
- ⁴⁰L. Andrews and A. Citra, Chem. Rev. **102**, 885 (2002).
- ⁴¹ H. J. Himmel, A. J. Downs, and T. M. Greene, Chem. Rev. **102**, 4191 (2002) and references therein.
- ⁴² Y. Gong, M. F. Zhou, and L. Andrews, Chem. Rev. **109**, 6765 (2009).
- ⁴³ J. H. Darling and J. S. Ogden, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. **1972**, 2496.
 ⁴⁴ W. D. Bare, P. F. Souter, and L. Andrews, J. Phys. Chem. A **102**, 8279 (1998).